

ON THE RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEMS

G. Giorgadze

Institute of Cybernetics

Georgian Academy of Sciences

e-mail: giorgadze@rmi.acnet.ge

Abstract

We discuss some topological aspects of the Riemann-Hilbert transmission problem and Riemann-Hilbert monodromy problem on Riemann surfaces. In particular, we describe the construction of a holomorphic vector bundle starting from the given representation of the fundamental group and investigate the local behaviour of connexions on this bundle. We give formulæ for the partial indices of the Riemann-Hilbert transmission problem in the three-dimensional case in terms of the corresponding vector bundle on the Riemann sphere.

Introduction

The problem which we below call Riemann-Hilbert transmission problem (RHTP) consists in finding piecewise holomorphic matrix functions which satisfy certain transmission condition on the unit circle. It was first formulated by B. Riemann as an auxiliary proposition for solving the following problem: starting with the given m points on the Riemann sphere $\mathbf{CP}^1$ and m nondegenerate matrices, one has to construct a system of ordinary differential equations (ODE's) for which the given points are poles of the first kind, and matrices are monodromy matrices around the given points. Such systems are called Fuchsian and this problem is called the Riemann-Hilbert monodromy problem (RHMP). Riemann himself did not give a detailed solution. A valuable contribution to solving Riemann's problem was made by D. Hilbert. He solved the problem in certain particular case and stated an

analogous problem for the system of ODE's which later became known as the Hilbert's 21-st problem.

Plemelj in the paper "Riemannsche Funktionenscharen mit gegebenen Monodromiegruppe" solved a weak version of Hilbert's 21-st problem. He proved existence of a system of ODE's which has the prescribed monodromy, but also has a higher order pole. A system of this kind is called a regular system. Plemelj used a different method than Hilbert. He made use of a Cauchy type integral for solving the transmission problem. Besides that, he brought a regular system to Fuchsian type by certain transformation and therefore gave a seemingly complete solution of RHMP.

However his proof was not completely rigorous, as was indicated by many authors: [Mus], [V], [Kohn], [Ar-II], [An-BI]; this refers to RHTP as well as to RHMP.

In subsequent years, research of the RHTP and RHMP was going independently. RHTP was developed by the Georgian mathematical school and results of this research were presented in the monographies of N. Muskhelishvili [Mus] and N. Vekua [V]. Further results were obtained by W. Koppelman [Kop], F. Gachov [Gah], Yu. Rodin [Rod], G. Khimshiashvili [Kh] and so on.

The history of the RHMP is even more interesting. It was Lappo-Danilevskii [LD] who was able to construct a Fuchsian system via its monodromy group. Although Plemelj's proposition on the reduction of a regular system to Fuchsian system was not true in general, Lappo-Danilevskii's result remains true because he considered the particular case when the monodromy matrices are close to the identity matrix.

V. Golubeva, A. Bolibruch, V. Leksin considered RHMP on n -dimensional complex manifolds. They obtained many interesting results [Gol], [Lek1], [Lek2] and their algebraic topological approach turned out to be useful for the investigation of the monodromy representation of braid groups, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and Yang-Baxter equations [Lek2], [Kohn]. Eventually, A. Bolibruch proved that Plemelj's result is not true, i. e. the answer on the Hilbert's question on the existence of a Fuchsian system with prescribed monodromy group is negative.

More detailed historical information may be found in the books [Mus], [V], [Gah], [An-BI]. In the sequel we will freely refer to these books.

The author became interested in these problems, because in last 20 years, they found applications in mathematical physics, in particular, in holonomic field theory [S-M-J], two dimensional Yang-Mills theory [At-Bot] and topo-

logical quantum field theory [Wi].

The moduli space of holomorphic vector bundles on the Riemann surface traditionally plays important role in complex analysis. Recently it also became crucial for topological quantum field theory. It turned out that for its description the language of loop groups is quite adequate, which represents a generalization of ideas by Birkhoff and Bojarski to the case of a Riemann surface of higher genus.

The holomorphic structure for a bundle on the Riemann sphere is determined completely by the partial indices of the corresponding matrix function. These are obtained via Birkhoff factorization of invertible matrix functions. The condition of stability of a matrix function and the corresponding notion of stability for vector bundles are expressible in the language of partial indices developed by B. Bojarski and I. Gohberg with M. Krein. B. Bojarski also explored the topology of stable matrix functions. The diagonal matrix entering in the Birkhoff factorization of a matrix function represents a co-cycle which defines a holomorphic vector bundle on $\mathbf{CP}^1$. This bundle has connexions with regular singularities. The space of Fuchsian connexions is contained in the space of connexions with regular singularities. A. Bolibruch discovered that for every conformal structure on the marked Riemann sphere there exists a bundle which has no Fuchsian connexion. He also explored the solution in a neighbourhood of a regular singularity by Levelt's theory and transition from local solution to global solutions. Due to this, the description of the moduli space of the holomorphic vector bundles on a Riemann surface of higher genus became possible in terms of local numeric invariants of ODE's. Our paper is devoted to the same topic and written in the same spirit.

This text emerged from a talk given by the author at Prof. Bojarski's seminar in the Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences. During discussions with Prof. B. Bojarski several new ideas appeared which will be considered in future publications.

I am very grateful to Prof. B. Bojarski and the Banach Centre for the invitation and warm hospitality.

1 Riemann-Hilbert transmission problem

Let U^+ be a bounded domain in the extended complex plane $\mathbf{CP}^1 = \mathbf{C}^1 \cup \infty$, with the boundary L , U^- – the complementary domain, so that $L = \overline{U^+} \cap \overline{U^-}$, $\mathbf{CP}^1 = U^+ \cup L \cup U^-$. For convenience of notation assume $0 \in U^+$ and L is a piecewise smooth Jordan curve.

Let $\varphi(t)$ be a given function on L , bounded everywhere on L with the possible exception of a finite number of points $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$, where it satisfies the Hölder condition

$$|\varphi(t)| \leq \frac{C}{|t - s_j|^\alpha},$$

for any $s_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The numbers C, α are positive constants and $\alpha < 1$.

Consider the Cauchy type integral

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\varphi(t)}{t - z} dt, \quad (1.1)$$

where $z \in \mathbf{CP}^1$ and $t \in L$. The function $\Phi(z)$ is piecewise holomorphic in $\mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus L$ and for sufficiently large $|z|$ we have a decomposition of $\Phi(z)$ into the sum

$$\Phi(z) \sim \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_j}{z^j} \quad (1.2)$$

where $a_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L t^{j-1} \varphi(t) dt, j = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, we have $\Phi(\infty) = 0$.

Let $t_0 \in L$ and $t_0 \notin \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$, then in ordinary sense integral (1.1) does not exist. Consider the formal expression

$$\Phi(t_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\varphi(t)}{t - t_0} dt. \quad (1.3)$$

Describe about t_0 as centre a circle with so small a radius ε that it intersects L in two points t_1 and t_2 . Denote by l the arc $t_1 t_2$. If for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L \setminus l} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t - t_0} dt \quad (1.4)$$

tends to a definite limit, then this limit is called the principal value of the Cauchy type integral (1.1).

Remark. It is obvious that, if the integral (1.3) exists in the ordinary (i. e. Riemann) sense, then the principal value also exists (but not conversely). The integral (1.3) exists in the ordinary sense, if the integral (1.4) tends to a definite limit whatever the arc l cut off around t_0 may be, as long as the length of this arc tends to zero; it is essential for the definition of the principal value that the ends t_1 and t_2 of the arc l lie at equal distances from t_0 .

Theorem 1.1. If in the neighbourhood of t_0 the function $\varphi(t)$ satisfies the Hölder continuity condition then there exists the principal value of the Cauchy type integral.

This theorem allows to define the value of the function $\Phi(z)$ on the curve L .

Denote

$$\Phi^\pm(t_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow t_0, z \in U^\pm} \Phi(z), t_0 \in L,$$

then we have Sohookii-Plemelj formulas for boundary values

$$\Phi^+(t_0) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(t_0) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\varphi(t)}{t - t_0} dt,$$

$$\Phi^-(t_0) = -\frac{1}{2}\varphi(t_0) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\varphi(t)}{t - t_0} dt.$$

The aforementioned definitions and propositions also extend for those cases when φ is an n -vector or an $n \times n$ -matrix function.

The Riemann-Hilbert transmission problem for vector valued functions can be formulated as follows:

Riemann-Hilbert transmission problem: Suppose one has Hölder continuous matrix-functions $G : L \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$. Describe the totality of the piecewise holomorphic vector functions $\Phi(t)$ in $U^+ \cup U^-$, which admit continuous boundary values on L , satisfy the transmission condition

$$\Phi^+(t) = G(t)\Phi^-(t), \tag{1.5}$$

for any $t \in L$, and have finite order at ∞ .

This problem is reduced to the system of singular integral equations in the following manner.

Let the identity

$$\Phi^+(t) - \Phi^-(t) = \varphi(t)$$

be satisfied on the curve L . The piecewise holomorphic function, which has finite order at ∞ is represented by the Cauchy type integral

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\varphi(t)}{t-z} dt + \gamma(z), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\gamma(z) = (\gamma_1(z), \gamma_2(z), \dots, \gamma_n(z))$ is the principal part of the function $\Phi(z)$ at ∞ . Therefore, our problem is reduced to finding functions φ and γ . Let us use Sohookii-Plemelj formulas for $\Phi(z)$ and substitute the obtained expression in the transmission condition (1.5). We obtain the system of singular integral equations for the functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$:

$$A(t_0)\varphi(t_0) + \frac{B(t_0)}{\pi i} \int_L \frac{\varphi(t)}{t-t_0} dt = F(t_0), \quad (1.7)$$

where $A(t_0) = \mathbf{1} + G(t_0)$, $B(t_0) = \mathbf{1} - G(t_0)$, $F(t_0) = (G(t_0) - \mathbf{1})\gamma(t)$ and $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit matrix in $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$.

The function $F(t_0)$ contains an unknown polynomial $\gamma(t_0)$. It must be chosen so that the system (1.7) must have solutions. This last condition is satisfied if and only if the system

$$\int_L f(t)\psi^k(t)dt = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, k' \quad (1.8)$$

is satisfied, where $\psi^k(t), k = 1, 2, \dots, k'$ forms a complete system of linearly independent solutions of the adjoint of problem (1.5).

Properties of the solutions of RHTP:

Property 1. If $\Phi_1(z), \dots, \Phi_n(z)$ are solutions of the RHTP, then for any polynomial functions $p_1(z), \dots, p_n(z)$ the function

$$\Phi_1(z)p_1(z) + \dots + \Phi_n(z)p_n(z)$$

again is a solution.

Property 2. Suppose for some z_0 we have $\Phi(z_0) = 0$. Then

$$\frac{\Phi(z)}{z - z_0}$$

again is a solution of the RHTP.

Property 3. Let k be the number of linearly independent solutions of the system of singular integral equations (1.7), then the order at infinity of nontrivial solutions of the RHTP is at least k (note, that $k \geq \text{ind}G + (m + 1)n - k'$, where m is order of the pole at infinity).

Let

$$\Psi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1^1(z) & \Phi_1^2(z) & \dots & \Phi_1^n(z) \\ \Phi_2^1(z) & \Phi_2^2(z) & \dots & \Phi_2^n(z) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Phi_n^1(z) & \Phi_n^2(z) & \dots & \Phi_n^n(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

where the column $\Psi^i(z) = (\Phi_1^i(z), \dots, \Phi_n^i(z))$ of the matrix $\Psi(z)$ consists of solutions of the RHTP and let $\det \Psi(z)$ be not identically zero. In this case the system of the solutions $\Psi^1(z), \dots, \Psi^n(z)$ is called a fundamental system of solutions.

If $\det \Psi(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in \mathbf{C}$ (including the curve L), then $\Psi^1(z), \dots, \Psi^n(z)$ is called normal. Note, that $\det \Psi(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in L$ means, that $\det \Psi^+(t) \neq 0, \det \Psi^-(t) \neq 0$.

Determinant of the system of normal solutions may be 0 at infinity, or ∞ may be a pole for it. Denote by $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n$ the order at infinity of the system of normal solutions $\Psi^1(z), \dots, \Psi^n(z)$ and consider the matrix-function $\Psi(z)z^{d_K}$, where

$$d_K = \begin{pmatrix} z^{\kappa_1} & & 0 \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & & z^{\kappa_n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.9)$$

is a diagonal matrix with entries z^{κ_i} and the integers $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n$ satisfies the conditions

$$\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n. \quad (1.10)$$

It is clear that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \det(\Psi(z)z^{d_K}) = c < \infty$ and therefore $\Psi(z)z^{d_K}$ is a holomorphic matrix function in the neighbourhood of ∞ .

The normal system of solutions is called canonical, if the function $\Delta(z) = \det(\Psi(z)z^{d_K})$ is not zero at ∞ . Denote by $\chi(z)$ the system of canonical solutions.

The integers (1.10) are called partial indices of the homogeneous transmission problem or of the matrix function $G(t)$. The number

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Delta_L \arg \det G(t)$$

is called the global index or simply index of the RHTP.

Theorem 1.2. (Muskhelishvili [Mus]). For every $G(t)$ canonical solutions always exist. The sequence (1.9) does not depend on the considered canonical solution and

$$\kappa = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \dots + \kappa_n.$$

The matrix-function

$$\chi_0(z) = \Psi(z) z^{d_K}$$

is holomorphic invertible in U^- and $\det \chi_0(z) \neq 0$, for every points $t \in L$. Using the identity (1.5) we obtain the Birkhoff factorization of the matrix-function $G(t)$:

$$G(t) = \chi^+(t) t^{d_K} [\chi_0^-(t)]^{-1}.$$

It is reasonable to detalize this Birkhoff theorem as we'll use it again.

Denote by Ω the space of all Hölder-continuous loops $G : L \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$. It is a Banach Lie group with natural norm and operation.

Let

$\Omega^+ = \{f \in \Omega : f \text{ is the boundary value of the matrix function holomorphic in } U^+\}$,

$\Omega^- = \{f \in \Omega : f \text{ is the boundary value of the matrix function holomorphic in } U^- \text{ and is regular at infinity } f(\infty) = \mathbf{1}\}$.

Theorem 1.3. (Birkhoff) Any loop $f \in \Omega$ can be represented as

$$f(t) = f^-(t) d_K f^+(t), \tag{1.11}$$

where $f^\pm \in \Omega^\pm$ and d_K is a diagonal loop (1.9) with condition (1.10).

The diagonal matrix d_K will be called the characteristic loop of the corresponding matrix-function, whereas $K = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$ – the characteristic multiindex. Two loops $f, g \in \Omega$ will be called equivalent, if f and g have identical characteristic multiindices. For $K = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$, denote by Ω_K the set of equivalence classes of loops Ω and call it the Birkhoff-Bojarski stratum. The topological structure of Ω_K has been studied by Bojarski, who showed, that if L is a Jordan curve, then the strata Ω_K are connected.

The representation (1.11) is not unique, but if one fixes f^+ (or f^-) then f^- (respectively f^+) will be uniquely defined.

The Banach Lie group $\Omega^+ \times \Omega^-$ acts analytically on Ω via

$$f \xrightarrow{\alpha} h_1 f h_2^{-1}, f \in \Omega, h_1 \in \Omega^+, h_2 \in \Omega^-.$$

It is clear, that the orbit of the diagonal matrix d_K by the action α is Ω_K .

Theorem 1.4 (Disney [Dis]) The stability subgroup H_K of f under the action α consists of those pairs (h_1, h_2) of upper triangular matrix-functions where the (i, j) -th entry in h_1 is a polynomial in z of degree at most $(k_1 - k_2)$ and $f = h_1 f h_2^{-1}$, the space H_K has finite dimension

$$\dim H_K = \sum_{k_i \geq k_j} (k_i - k_j + 1).$$

The stratum Ω_K is a locally closed analytical submanifold of Ω and codimension of Ω_K in Ω is equal to

$$\dim \Omega / \Omega_K = \sum_{k_i > k_j} (k_i - k_j - 1).$$

Consider the holomorphic vector bundle on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ which is obtained by the covering of the Riemann sphere $\mathbf{CP}^1$ by three open sets $\{U^+, U^-, U_3 = \mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}\}$, with transition functions

$$g_{13} = h_1 : U^+ \cap U_3 \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C}),$$

$$g_{23} = h_2 d_K : U^- \cap U_3 \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C}).$$

It is denoted by $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$. From the Birkhoff theorem it follows, that every holomorphic vector bundle splits into direct sum of the line bundles

$$E \cong E(k_1) \oplus \dots \oplus E(k_n). \quad (1.12)$$

Remark. Possibility of decomposition of a holomorphic vector bundle into the sum (1.12) is proved by A. Grothendieck, without applying the Birkhoff theorem.

The numbers $k_1, \dots, k_n$ are the Chern numbers of the line bundles $E(k_1), \dots, E(k_n)$ and satisfy the conditions $k_1 \geq \dots \geq k_n$. The integer-valued vector $K =$

$(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ is called the splitting type of the holomorphic vector bundle E . It defines uniquely the holomorphic type of the bundle E .

Connection between partial indices $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ of the RHTP, characteristic multiindex of the matrix-function $f \in \Omega$ and splitting type of the holomorphic vector bundle E are presented in the following summarizing theorem:

Theorem 1.5. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the strata Ω_K and holomorphic vector bundles on $\mathbf{CP}^1$.

Denote by $O(E)$ the sheaf of germs of holomorphic sections of the bundle E , then the solutions of the RHTP are elements of the zeroth cohomology group $H^0(\mathbf{CP}^1, O(E))$, therefore the number l of the linearly independent solutions is $\dim H^0(\mathbf{CP}^1, O(E))$, as the Chern number $c_1(E)$ of the bundle E is equal to index $\det G(t)$, we obtained the known criterion of solvability of the RHTP. In particular the following theorem is true:

Theorem 1.6. The RHTP has solutions if and only if $c_1(E) \geq 0$ and the number l of linearly independent solutions is

$$l = \dim H^0(\mathbf{CP}^1, O(E)) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i + 1.$$

Suppose L is the same as above and $G : L \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ is a discontinuous function of the first kind at the point $s_1 \in L$, i. e. $\lim_{t \rightarrow s_1+0} G(t) \neq \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1-0} G(t)$. Denote by $G(s_1 + 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1+0} G(t)$, $G(s_1 - 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1-0} G(t)$.

Let

$$G = G^{-1}(s_1 + 0)G(s_1 - 0) \quad (1.13)$$

and $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln G$, so that if λ_i are eigenvalues of G , then $\mu_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \lambda_i$ satisfies the conditions $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \mu_i < 1$.

Consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^+(z) &= (z - s_1)^\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\Gamma \ln(z - s_1)}, \\ \omega^-(z) &= \left(\frac{z - s_1}{z - z_0} \right)^\Gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\Gamma \ln \left(\frac{z - s_1}{z - z_0} \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where z_0 is some fixed point in U^+ . It is known that $\omega^+(z)$ is a single valued matrix-function on $\mathbf{C} \setminus l_1$, where l_1 is a curve with endpoints s_1 and ∞ . $\omega^-(z)$ is a single valued matrix-function on $\mathbf{C} \setminus l_2$, where l_2 is a curve with endpoints z_0 and s_1 .

Suppose

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s_1+0} (t - z_0)^\Gamma = (s_1 - z_0)^\Gamma,$$

then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s_1-0} (t - z_0)^\Gamma = e^{2\pi i} (t_1 - z_0)^\Gamma = G(t_1 - z_0)^\Gamma. \quad (1.14)$$

Introduce new vector-functions $f_1^+(z)$ and $f_1^-(z)$:

$$f_1^+(z) = (z - s_1)^\Gamma G^{-1}(s_1 + 0) f^+(z),$$

$$f_1^-(z) = \left(\frac{z - s_1}{z - z_0} \right)^{-\Gamma} f^-(z).$$

They are holomorphic respectively on $U^\pm$ and satisfy the transmission condition

$$G(s_1 + 0)(z - s_1)^\Gamma f^+(z) = G(t) \left(\frac{z - s_1}{z - z_0} \right)^\Gamma f^-(z),$$

or

$$f_1^+(z) = (z - s_1)^{-\Gamma} G^{-1}(s_1 + 0) G(t) \left(\frac{z - s_1}{z - z_0} \right)^\Gamma f_1^-(z).$$

Let us denote $G_1(z) = (z - s_1)^{-\Gamma} G^{-1}(s_1 + 0) G(t) \left(\frac{z - s_1}{z - z_0} \right)^{-\Gamma}$ and prove that $G_1(t)$ is continuous at the point s_1 . Indeed

$$G_1(s_1 + 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1+0} \left[(t - s_1)^{-\Gamma} G^{-1}(s_1 + 0) G(t) \left(\frac{t - s_1}{t - z_0} \right)^\Gamma \right] = (s_1 - z_0)^{-\Gamma}.$$

To calculate $G_1(s_1 - 0)$ we use (1.13), (1.14) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(s_1 - 0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1-0} \left[(t - s_1)^{-\Gamma} G^{-1}(s_1 + 0) G(t) \left(\frac{t - s_1}{t - z_0} \right)^\Gamma \right] = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1-0} \left[(t - s_1)^{-\Gamma} G G^{-1} \left(\frac{t - s_1}{t - z_0} \right)^\Gamma \right] = (s_1 - z_0)^{-\Gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the general case. Let $s_1, \dots, s_m \in L$ be points of discontinuity and let there be finite limits $G(s_j + 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1+0} G(t)$ and $G(s_j - 0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1-0} G(t)$. The curve L will be understood to be the union of smooth, nonintersecting arcs $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m$ with definite positive directions. Therefore ends of arcs L_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$) are s_j and s_{j+1} .

Suppose

$G^j = G^{-1}(s_j + 0) G(s_j - 0)$ and $\Gamma^j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln G^j$, so that if λ_j^i are eigenvalues of G^j , then $\mu_i^j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \lambda_j^i$. Denote $\rho_i^j = \operatorname{Re} \mu_i^j$ and normalize the choice of $\ln$ demanding that $0 \leq \rho_i^j < 1$.

Consider the matrix-functions

$$\Omega_j^+(z) = A_j G(s_j + 0)(z - s_j)^{\Gamma_j}, \Omega_j^-(z) = B_j \left(\frac{z - s_j}{z - z_0} \right)^{\Gamma_j},$$

where A_j, B_j are constant matrices:

$$A_1 = E, A_j = \left[\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right]^{-1},$$

$$B_1 = E, B_j = \left[\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \Omega_k^-(s_j) \right]^{-1}, j = 2, 3, \dots, m.$$

The functions $\Omega_j^+(z)$ are holomorphic respectively in $U^\pm$.
Introduce new vector-functions

$$f^+(z) = \prod_{j=1}^m \Omega_j^+(z) f_1^+(z),$$

$$f^-(z) = \prod_{j=1}^m \Omega_j^-(z) f_1^-(z).$$

Use the transmission condition (1.5) and obtain:

$$f_1^+(t) = \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^m \Omega_j^+(t) \right)^{-1} G(t) \prod_{j=1}^m \Omega_j^-(t) \right] f_1^-(t).$$

Proposition 1.1. The matrix-function

$$G_1(t) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \Omega_j^+(t) \right)^{-1} G(t) \prod_{j=1}^m \Omega_j^-(t)$$

is continuous at points $s_1, \dots, s_m$.

Proof. Calculate $G_1(s_j + 0)$ and $G_1(s_j - 0)$.

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(s_j + 0) &= \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1 + 0} \left(\prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right)^{-1} (t - s_j)^{-\Gamma_j} G^{-1}(s_j + 0) A_j^{-1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right)^{-1} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= G(s_j + 0) \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \Omega_k^-(s_j) B_j \left(\frac{t - s_j}{t - z_0} \right)^{\Gamma_j} \prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^-(s_j) = \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right)^{-1} \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1 + 0} \left((t - s_j)^{-\Gamma_j} \left(\frac{t - s_j}{t - z_0} \right)^{\Gamma_j} \right) \prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^-(s_j) = \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right)^{-1} (s_j - z_0)^{-\Gamma_j} \prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^-(s_j), \\
G_1(s_j - 0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1 - 0} \left(\prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right)^{-1} (t - s_j)^{-\Gamma_j} G^{-1}(s_j + 0) A_j^{-1} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right)^{-1} \\
&= G(s_j - 0) \prod_{k=1}^{j-1} \Omega_k^-(s_j) B_j \left(\frac{t - s_j}{t - z_0} \right)^{\Gamma_j} \prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^-(s_j) = \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right)^{-1} \lim_{t \rightarrow s_1 - 0} \left((t - s_j)^{-\Gamma_j} G_j G_j^{-1} \left(\frac{t - s_j}{s_j - z_0} \right)^{\Gamma_j} \right) \prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^-(s_j) = \\
&= \left(\prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^+(s_j) \right)^{-1} (s_j - z_0)^{-\Gamma_j} \prod_{k=j+1}^m \Omega_k^-(s_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the RHTP with discontinuity points reduces to the transmission problem considered at the very beginning, but in this case it is necessary to find solutions, which are holomorphic respectively in $U^\pm$ and its boundary values have discontinuity points. It can be proved that if (f^+, f^-) is a solution of RHTP with discontinuity points, then this solution extends continuously to these points too. It means, that there is a system of canonical solutions $\chi_0(z)$ of the transmission problem, which satisfies the following conditions:

1. $\det \chi(z) \neq 0$, on $\mathbf{C}$ with possible exception of points $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$.
2. There are the diagonal matrix-function d_K , that then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \chi(z) d_K(z) = c \neq 0 < \infty.$$

3. If s_j is some singular point, then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow s_j} (z - s_j)^\varepsilon \chi(z) = 0,$$

for some real number $\varepsilon > 0$.

2 The system of ordinary differential equations with regular singularity

Consider the system on a small disk $U \subset \mathbf{C}$ with center 0,

$$\frac{df}{dz} = A(z)f(z), f(z) = (f^1(z), \dots, f^n(z)) \in \mathbf{C}^n, \quad (2.1)$$

where $A(z)$ is a holomorphic matrix function on $U^* = U \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $p : \widetilde{U}^* \rightarrow U^*$ be the universal covering of U^* and let ξ and z denote the local coordinate on $\widetilde{U}^*$ and U^* , respectively.

The system (2.1) has n linearly independent holomorphic solutions in a small neighbourhood of $z_0 \in U^*$. Denote the space of solutions by $\mathfrak{R}$. If $f \in \mathfrak{R}$, then f is a holomorphic function on $\widetilde{U}^*$. Let Γ be the group of deck transformations of the covering

$$p : \widetilde{U}^* \rightarrow U^*.$$

If $\alpha \in \Gamma$, then α defines the automorphism $\alpha^* : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ of the solution space in this manner:

$$\alpha^* f = f \circ \alpha^{-1}, \text{ i.e. } (\alpha^* f)(\xi) = f(\alpha^{-1}\xi).$$

Clearly, $\alpha^* f$ is also a solution to (2.1) and therefore a map

$$\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C}), \alpha \mapsto \alpha^*. \quad (2.2)$$

is obtained.

If $\beta \in \Gamma$ is another element, then $(\alpha\beta)^* = \alpha^*\beta^*$, i. e. the map (2.2) is a homomorphism. Thus

$$f = (f \circ \alpha)\rho(\alpha). \quad (2.3)$$

The homomorphism ρ is called the monodromy representation corresponding to the system (2.1).

Let $\Phi(z)$ be the fundamental system of solutions to (2.1) and let $\Phi_1(z)$ be another invertible solution of the matrix ODE's:

$$\frac{d\Phi_1}{dz} = A(z)\Phi_1(z).$$

Then $\Phi_1(z) = \Phi(z)G$ with some constant matrix $G \in GL(n, \mathbf{C})$. Instead of (2.3) we get $\Phi_1(z) = (\Phi_1 \circ \alpha)\rho_1(\alpha)$ with some

$$\rho_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C}).$$

So

$$\Phi(z)G = (\Phi(z)G \circ \alpha)\rho_1(\alpha) = (\Phi \circ \alpha)G\rho_1(\alpha).$$

But $\Phi(z) = (\Phi(z) \circ \alpha)\rho(\alpha)$, thus $(\Phi(z) \circ \alpha)\rho(\alpha)G = (\Phi(z) \circ \alpha)G\rho_1(\alpha)$. Hence $\rho_1(\alpha) = G^{-1}\rho(\alpha)G$, where G is the same for all α . We see that to a system (2.1) there corresponds a class of mutually conjugate representations $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$. We will call this class the monodromy representation or simply monodromy.

The group of deck transformations Γ is now the infinite cyclic group generated by the deck transformation α which corresponds to one trip around 0 counterclockwise. Clearly, $\ln \xi$ is a holomorphic function on $\tilde{U}^*$ and $\ln(\alpha\xi) = \ln \xi + 2\pi i$. Let $G = \rho(\alpha^{-1})$ so that

$$\Phi(\alpha\xi) = \Phi(\xi)G. \quad (2.4)$$

Let $E = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln G$, so that if λ_j are eigenvalues of G and μ_j of E , then $\mu_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \lambda_j$. Denote $\tau_j = \operatorname{Re} \mu_j$ and normalize the choice of $\ln$ demanding that $0 \leq \tau_j < 1$.

Introduce the function $\xi^E = e^{E \ln \xi}$ (which is holomorphic on $\tilde{U}^*$):

$$(\alpha\xi)^E = e^{E(\ln \xi + 2\pi i)} = \xi^E G.$$

Then by (2.4)

$$\Phi(\alpha\xi)(\alpha\xi)^{-E} = \Phi(\xi)GG^{-1}\xi^{-E} = \Phi(\xi)\xi^{-E}.$$

Hence $\Phi(\xi)\xi^{-E}$ can be considered as a single-valued holomorphic function on U^* .

Consider any sector Σ having vertex at 0. 0 is a regular singularity of this system, if for the covering sector Σ' on U' and for any solution $f(\xi)$, the restriction $f(\xi)|_{\Sigma'}$ has at most polynomial growth as $z \rightarrow 0$ remaining in Σ .

Analogically one defines the regular singularity of the n -th order differential equation

$$x^{(n)}(z) + a_1(z)x^{(n-1)}(z) + \dots + a_{n-1}(z)x'(z) + a_n(z)x(z) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Observe that the system (2.1) and the equation (2.5) have regular singular points.

Theorem 2.1.(Poincarè) Let $f(z)$ be some solution of the system (2.1). Then $f(z)$ can be represented as follows:

$$f(\xi) = Z(z)\xi^E,$$

where Z is holomorphic on U^* .

Proposition 2.1. Every coordinate function $f_j(\xi)$ of a solution $f(\xi)$ is

$$f_j(\xi) = \sum_{p,q} \xi^{\tau_p} h_{p,q}(z) \ln^{l_q} \xi, \quad (2.6)$$

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \tau_q < 1, l_q \in \mathbf{Z}, l_q \geq 0.$$

Let n_{pq}^j denote order of the zero of the function $h_{pq}(z)$ at the point 0, and let $n^j = \min_{p,q} n_{p,q}^j$. There is defined a map

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, \quad \varphi(f) = \min_{j=1,\dots,n} n^j.$$

The map φ will be called Levelt's normalization of the solution $f(z)$. It has the following properties:

1. $\varphi(\lambda f) = \varphi(f)$, if $\lambda \in C^*$;
2. $\varphi(0) = \infty$;
3. $\varphi(f_1 + f_2) \geq \min(\varphi(f_1), \varphi(f_2))$, with equality if $\varphi(f_1) \neq \varphi(f_2)$.

From the algebraic viewpoint φ is a nonarchimedean valuation on $\mathfrak{R}$ over the trivial valuation on $\mathbf{C}$.

The integer valued function φ defines a filtration of $\mathfrak{R}$:

$$0 \subset \mathfrak{R}^0 \subset \mathfrak{R}^1 \subset \mathfrak{R}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{R}^m \subset \mathfrak{R}, \quad (2.7)$$

such that φ is constant on the quotient space $\mathfrak{R}^j/\mathfrak{R}^{j-1}$ and if $k_j^i = \varphi(\mathfrak{R}^j/\mathfrak{R}^{j-1})$, then $k_1 > k_2 > \dots > k_m$. Let $d_j = \dim(\mathfrak{R}^j/\mathfrak{R}^{j-1})$. We say that φ takes the value k_j with multiplicity d_j .

We shall use also the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^1 = \varphi^2 = \dots = \varphi^{d_1} = k_1 &> \varphi^{d_1+1} = \dots = \varphi^{d_1+d_2} = k_2 > \dots > \varphi^{d_1+d_2+\dots+d_{m-1}} \\ &= \varphi^{d_1+d_2+\dots+d_m} = k_m. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\varphi^1 \geq \varphi^2 \geq \dots \geq \varphi^m.$$

By definition of φ it follows that

$$\varphi(\alpha^* f) = \varphi(f),$$

hence it follows that α^* preserves the filtration (2.7) and the monodromy matrix G is upper triangular.

A basis $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_n(z)$ of the solution space $\mathfrak{R}$, satisfying the conditions $\varphi(f_i) = \varphi^i$ and such that the monodromy matrix G is upper triangular, will be called a Levelt's basis.

Theorem 2.2. [Le]. The fundamental system of solutions $\Phi(\xi)$ related to a Levelt's basis is

$$\Phi(\xi) = U(z)z^\Psi \xi^E,$$

where $U(z)$ is holomorphic on U^* and $\det U(z) \neq 0$, $\Psi = \text{diag}(\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^m)$ and $E = \frac{1}{2\pi i} G$ is upper triangular.

Remark. If 0 is a regular singular point, then $U(z)$ is meromorphic in U , i. e. $U(z)$ is a single valued function.

Proposition 2.2. Let $U(z)$ be holomorphically invertible at $z = 0$ and let

$$L(z) = \Psi + z^\Psi E z^{-\Psi}$$

be holomorphic. Then the system

$$df = \omega f$$

is Fuchsian at $z = 0$, where $\omega = \frac{d\Phi(z)}{dz} \Phi^{-1}(z)$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Phi(z)}{dz} &= \frac{dU(z)}{dz} z^\Psi \xi^E + \frac{1}{z} U(z) z^\Psi E \xi^E = \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left(z \frac{dU(z)}{dz} + U(z) L(z) \right) z^\Psi \xi^E, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L(z) = \Psi + z^\Psi E z^{-\Psi},$$

then

$$\frac{d\Phi(z)}{dz} \Phi^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left(z \frac{dU(z)}{dz} + U(z) L(z) \right) U^{-1}(z)$$

is Fuchsian at point 0.

If 0 is a pole of order one for the matrix valued function $A(z)$, then the system (2.1) is called Fuchsian. Let

$$A = \text{Res}_{z=0} A(z),$$

then (2.1) gives

$$\frac{df}{dz} = \frac{A}{z} f(z)$$

Proposition 2.3. 1) Every Fuchsian system is regular.

2) 0 is a regular singular point for the equation (2.5) if and only if the functions $z^j a_j(z)$ are holomorphic at 0.

Remark. 1) The set of regular singular systems contains the set of Fuchsian systems.

2) The ordinary differential equation (2.5) is regular if and only if it is Fuchsian.

From the proposition 2.3 follows that the coefficients $a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_n(z)$ are holomorphic in some punctured neighbourhood of 0 and $a_1(z)$ has there at most a pole of the 1-st order, ..., $a_i(z)$ — at most a pole of the i -th order, ..., $a_n(z)$ — at most a pole of the n -th order.

It turns out that (2.5) is regular at the point 0 if and only if the system is describing the behavior of the vector

$$(f^1(z), \dots, f^n(z)) = (x(z), \frac{dx(z)}{dz}, \dots, \frac{d^{n-1}x(z)}{dz^{n-1}})$$

i. e. the system

$$\frac{df(z)}{dz} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \\ -a_n(z) & -a_{n-1}(z) & \dots & -a_1(z) \end{pmatrix} f(z)$$

is regular at 0. It is well known that (2.5) is regular at $z = 0$ if and only if it is Fuchsian at $z = 0$.

The systems $\frac{df(z)}{dz} = A(z)f(z)$ and $\frac{dg(z)}{dz} = B(z)g(z)$ are holomorphically (meromorphically) equivalent if there exists in a neighbourhood of 0 a holomorphic (meromorphic) at 0 matrix function $H : V \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$, such that

the transformation $(z, f(z)) = (z, H(z)f(z))$ maps one equation to another, i. e.

$$B(z) = \frac{dH(z)}{dz}H^{-1}(z) + H(z)A(z)H^{-1}(z). \quad (2.8)$$

If two systems of equations are equivalent then their monodromy groups are conjugate. Besides, if 0 is a regular singular point for the system, this system is equivalent to $\frac{df}{dz} = \frac{A}{z}f(z)$, where A is a constant matrix.

To eliminate ambiguity we introduce some standard definitions.

Meromorphic connexion at the point 0 is called a pair (F, ∇) , where F is an n -dimensional vector space over the field $K = \mathcal{O}[\frac{1}{z}]$, whereas $\nabla : F \rightarrow F$ is an operator which satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla(h, s) = \frac{dh}{dz}s + h\nabla s,$$

for each function $f \in K$ and $s \in F$.

Let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ be a basis of F and let ∇e_i be expressed in this basis in the following manner:

$$\nabla e_i = - \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{ij}(z)e_j$$

where $\theta = (\theta_{ij}(z)) \in \text{End}(n, K)$, then for $s = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(z)e_j$ we shall obtain

$$\nabla s = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{ds_i(z)}{dz} - \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{ij}(z)s_j(z) \right) e_i.$$

By the last formula it follows, that $\nabla s = 0$ is equivalent to $\frac{ds}{dz} = \theta s$, or $(d - \theta)s = 0$.

Let us denote the matrix-valued 1-form θdz by ω , then the system will be $(d - \omega)s = 0$ and the connexion will be $\nabla = d - \omega$. Connexions are gauge equivalent if and only if corresponding systems of equations are equivalent, i. e. satisfy the equality (2.8).

3 Connection between RHTP and RHMP

Let $G(t)$ be the transmission matrix-function for the RHTP and suppose it is piecewise constant, i. e. $G(t) = G_i G_{i-1} \dots G_1$ if $t \in s_i s_{i+1}$. Let $\chi_0(z)$ be the

canonical solution and $\omega = d\chi_0(z)\chi_0^{-1}(z)$ be a form which is single-valued on the Riemann sphere and holomorphic outside the points $s_1, \dots, s_m$.

Proposition 3.1. The monodromy matrices of the regular system of ODE's

$$df = \omega f \quad (3.1)$$

are $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$.

Indeed, consider RHTP with the piecewise constant transmission function $G(t)$ and denote by $\chi_0(z)$ the canonical solution of the corresponding transmission problem. Let $z_0 \in U^-$. Take some singular point s_i and let γ_i be a loop beginning at z_0 and going around the singular point s_i along a small circle. It in transmission condition follows, that the extension of $\chi_0^-(z)$ along the loop γ_i goes to $G_i\chi_0^-(z)$.

Theorem 3.1. (Plemelj) If some monodromy matrix is diagonalizable, then the system (3.1) is Fuchsian.

Proof. Let $Y(\xi)$ be the fundamental system of solutions and let the monodromy matrix E_j which corresponds to the singular point s_j be diagonal. By theorem 2.1 in the neighbourhood of s_j one can represent $Y_j(\xi)$ as follows:

$$Y_j(\xi) = U_j(z)(\xi - s_j)^{E_j}$$

where $U_j(z)$ is holomorphic on U^* . By Sauvage's lemma there exists a matrix $\Gamma(z)$ holomorphically invertible outside s_j such that

$$\Gamma(z)U_j(z) = V_j(z)(z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}$$

where $V_j(z)$ is holomorphically invertible at s_j and $\Psi = \text{diag}(\varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^n)$. Introduce a new dependent variable $g = \Gamma(z)f$. By (2.8) we have

$$\frac{dg(z)}{dz} = \left(\frac{d\Gamma(z)}{dz}\Gamma^{-1}(z) + \Gamma(z)A(z)\Gamma^{-1}(z) \right) g(z).$$

Therefore the new system is still Fuchsian outside s_j .

We want to prove that if conditions of the theorem are satisfied, then the system is Fuchsian at the point s_j too.

Take Levelt's $Y(\xi)$ (theorem 2.2) in the neighbourhood s_j :

$$Y(\xi) = U(z)(z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}(\xi - s_j)^{E_j}.$$

We repeat the calculation presented during the proof of proposition 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\Phi(z)}{dz} &= \frac{dU(z)}{dz}(z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}(\xi - s_j)^{E_j} + \frac{1}{z}U(z)\Psi_j(z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}(\xi - s_j)^{E_j} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{z}U(z)(z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}E_j(\xi - s_j)^{E_j} = \\ &= \frac{1}{z}\left(z\frac{dU(z)}{dz} + V(z)L(z)\right)(z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}(\xi - s_j)^{E_j},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$L(z) = \bar{\Psi} + (z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}E_j(z - s_j)^{-\Psi_j},$$

then we obtain:

$$\frac{d\Phi(z)}{dz}\Phi^{-1}(z) = \frac{1}{z}\left(z\frac{dU(z)}{dz} + U(z)L(z)\right)U^{-1}(z),$$

E_j is diagonal, because $L(z) = \Psi_j + E_j$ is holomorphic. Therefore, by proposition 2.3 our system is Fuchsian at the point s_j too.

4 Extension of a bundle with connexion

Let X be a Riemann surface of genus g and $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ be a set of marked points on X . Denote by $X_m = X \setminus S$. Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X_m$ be the universal covering map of X_m , then it is a bundle with fibre $\pi_1(X_m, z_0)$, where $z_0 \in X_m$. $\pi_1(X_m, z_0)$ is isomorphic to the group of deck transformations of this covering and therefore acts on $\tilde{X}$.

Let

$$\rho : \pi_1(X_m, z_0) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C}) \tag{4.1}$$

be some representation.

Consider the trivial principal bundle $\tilde{X} \times GL(n, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ (or vector bundle $\tilde{X} \times \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \tilde{X}$). The quotient space $\tilde{X} \times GL(n, \mathbf{C}) / \sim$ gives the locally trivial bundle on X_m , where $\sim$ is an equivalence relation identifying the pairs $(\tilde{x}, g)$ and $(\sigma\tilde{x}, \rho(\sigma)g)$, for every $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}, g \in GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ (or $g \in \mathbf{C}^n$). Denote the obtained bundle by $\mathbf{P}_\rho \rightarrow X_m$ (or $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X_m$) and call it the bundle associated with the representation ρ . In obvious form this bundle according to the transformation functions may be constructed in the following manner.

Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be a simple covering of X_m , i. e. every intersection $U_{\alpha_1} \cap U_{\alpha_2} \cap \dots \cap U_{\alpha_k}$ is connected and simply connected. For each U_α , we choose a point $z_\alpha \in U_\alpha$ and join z_0 and z_α by a γ_α starting at z_0 and ending at z_α . For a point $z \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ we choose a path $\tau_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ which starts at z_α and ends at z . Consider

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(z) = \rho\left(\gamma_\alpha \tau_\alpha(z) \tau_\beta^{-1}(z) \gamma_\beta^{-1}\right). \quad (4.2)$$

We see that

$$g_{\alpha\gamma}(z) = g_{\beta\alpha}(z)$$

and

$$g_{\alpha\beta} g_{\beta\gamma}(z) = g_{\alpha\gamma}(z)$$

on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$.

The cocycle $\{g_{\alpha\beta}(z)\}$ does not depend on the choice of z . Hence from this cocycle we obtain a flat vector (or principal) bundle, which is denoted by $\mathbf{E}'_\rho(\mathbf{P}'_\rho)$.

Let $\{t_\alpha(z)\}$ be a trivialization of our bundle, i. e.

$$t_\alpha : p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$$

is a holomorphic mapping. Consider the matrix valued 1-form $\{\omega_\alpha\}$:

$$\omega_\alpha = -t_\alpha^{-1} dt_\alpha.$$

$\{g_{\alpha\beta}(z)\}$ are constant on the intersection $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}(z)t_\beta(z) = t_\alpha(z)$, so on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ the identity $\omega_\alpha = \omega_\beta$ holds. Indeed, replacing t_β by $t_\beta^{-1}g_{\alpha\beta}$ in the expression $\omega_\beta = -t_\beta^{-1}dt_\beta$, we obtain

$$\omega_\beta = -t_\alpha^{-1}g_{\alpha\beta}(z)dt_\alpha g_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(z) = -t_\alpha^{-1}dt_\alpha.$$

So, $\omega = \{\omega_\alpha\}$ is a holomorphic 1-form on X_m and therefore is a connexion form of the bundle $\mathbf{P}'_\rho \rightarrow X_m$. Corresponding connexion is denoted by ∇' . We will extend the pair $(\mathbf{P}'_\rho, \nabla')$ to X . As the required construction is of local character, we shall extend $\mathbf{P}'_\rho \rightarrow X_m$ to the bundle $\mathbf{P}''_\rho \rightarrow X_m \cup \{s_i\}$, where $s_i \in S$.

First consider the extension of the principal bundle $\mathbf{P}'_\rho \rightarrow X_m$.

Let a neighbourhood V_i of the point s_i meet $U_{\alpha_1}, U_{\alpha_2}, \dots, U_{\alpha_k}$. As we noted when constructing the bundle from transition functions (4.1) only one of

them is different from identity. Let us denote it by g_{1k} , then $g_{1k} = G_i$, where G_i is the monodromy which corresponds to the singular point s_i . Mark a branch of the many valued function $(\tilde{z} - s_i)^{E_i}$ containing the point $\tilde{s}_i \in \tilde{U}_i$ (where $E_i = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln G_i$). Thus the marked branch defines a function

$$g_{01} = (z - s_i)^{E_i}. \quad (4.3)$$

Denote by g_{02} the extension of g_{01} along the path which goes around s_i counterclockwise, and similarly for other points. At last on $U_i \cap U_{\alpha_k} \cap U_{\alpha_1}$ we shall have:

$$g_{0k}(z) = g_{01}(z)G_i = g_{01}(z)g_{0k}(z).$$

The function $g_{0k} : V_i \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ is the one defined at the point s_i , and takes there value coinciding with the monodromy matrix. It means, that we made extension of the bundle to the point s_i . In a neighbourhood of s_i one will have

$$\omega_i = dg_{0k}g_{0k}^{-1} = E_i \frac{dz}{z - s_i}.$$

So we obtained the holomorphic principal bundle $\mathbf{P}_\rho \rightarrow X$ on the surface X . The vector bundle associated to $\mathbf{P}_\rho \rightarrow X$, which we denote by $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X$ and call canonical, is not topologically trivial. Its connexion is denoted by ∇ . The holomorphic sections of $\mathbf{E}_\rho$ are solutions of the equation

$$\nabla f = 0 \iff df = \omega f. \quad (4.4)$$

Theorem 4.1.1) The system (4.4) has regular singularity at points $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$.

2) The Chern number $c_1(\mathbf{E}_\rho)$ of $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X$ is equal to

$$c_1(\mathbf{E}_\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{tr}(E_i). \quad (4.5)$$

The triple (X, S, ρ) is called Riemann data, where X is a Riemann surface, $S \subset X$ denotes a finite subset of X , $\rho : \pi_1(X \setminus S, z_0) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ is any representation with trivial kernel.

Riemann-Hilbert monodromy problem for Riemann surfaces.

Let us find a system of ODE

$$df = \omega f, \quad (4.6)$$

on a Riemann surface X for the given Riemann data (X, S, ρ) , where S is the set of regular singular points of the system (4.6) and its monodromy representation coincides with ρ .

Theorem 4.2 [Röh]. For every Riemann data there exists a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem for ODE's with regular singularity.

Let (4.6) be the regular system of ODE's which is induced by the representation (4.1). By theorem 2.2 the fundamental matrix of solutions in a neighbourhood of s_j is

$$\Phi_j(\tilde{z}) = U_j(z)(z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}(\tilde{z} - s_j)^{E_j}. \quad (4.7)$$

Here Ψ_j are exponents of the solution space $\mathfrak{R}$ of the system (4.6) and $E_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln G_j$, with eigenvalues $\mu_j^1, \mu_j^2, \dots, \mu_j^n$ satisfying the conditions $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \mu_j^i < 1$. The numbers $\beta_j^i = \varphi_j^i + \mu_j^i$ will be called exponents of the solution space $\mathfrak{R}$ at the point s_j (or j -exponents).

Proposition 4.1 [Le], [Bl1]. The system (4.6) is Fuchsian at s_j if and only if $\det U_j(s_j) \neq 0$.

Proposition 4.2. If the system (4.5) is Fuchsian in a neighbourhood of s_j , then

$$\omega_j = \frac{A_j}{z - s_j} dz,$$

where A_i is a constant matrix with eigenvalues $\beta_j^i, i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Indeed, suppose $\omega = A(z)dz$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A_j &= \lim_{z \rightarrow s_j} ((z - s_j)A(z)) = \lim_{z \rightarrow s_j} \left((z - s_j) \frac{d\Phi_j(z)}{dz} \Phi_j^{-1}(z) \right) = \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow s_j} \left((z - s_j) \frac{dU_j(z)}{dz} U_j(z) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + U_j(z) \left(\Psi_j + (z - s_j)^{\Psi_j} E_j (z - s_j)^{-\Psi_j} \right) U_j^{-1}(z) \right) = \\ &= U_j(s_j) (\Psi_j + E_j) U_j^{-1}(s_j). \end{aligned}$$

Here $E_j = \lim_{z \rightarrow s_j} E_j(z)$, $E_j(z) = (z - s_j)^{\Psi_j} E_j (z - s_j)^{-\Psi_j}$. Therefore we obtain that $\psi_j^i + \mu_j^i$ are eigenvalues of the matrix A_j .

Let the system (4.5) be Fuchsian. Transform the monodromy matrices $G_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ to upper-triangular form by some matrices C_j . Assume

that $\Psi_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are diagonal integer valued matrices whose entries φ_j^i satisfy the inequalities

$$\varphi_j^1 \geq \varphi_j^2 \geq \dots \geq \varphi_j^n.$$

Consider the local section $U_j(z)$ of the principal bundle $\mathbf{P}_\rho \rightarrow X$ over $V_j \setminus s_j$ such that the corresponding $\Phi_j(z)$ has the form (4.7).

The following proposition holds:

Proposition 4.3. Every extension of $\mathbf{P}'_\rho \rightarrow X_m$ to the points s_j which is induced by a connexion ∇ with at most logarithmic singularities at s_j , is determined by matrices C_j and Ψ_j such that

- 1) $C_j^{-1}G_jC_j$ is upper triangular,
- 2) $\Psi_j = \text{diag}(\varphi_j^1, \varphi_j^2, \dots, \varphi_j^n), \varphi_j^i \in \mathbf{Z}, \varphi_j^1 \geq \varphi_j^2 \geq \dots \geq \varphi_j^n$.

Extend $\mathbf{P}'_\rho \rightarrow X_m$ in a similar way to all singular points. Denote by C the collection $(C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m)$ and by Ψ the collection $(\Psi^1, \Psi^2, \dots, \Psi^m)$, where $\Psi^j = (\varphi_j^1, \varphi_j^2, \dots, \varphi_j^n)$. Denote by $\mathbf{P}_\rho^{C, \Psi} \rightarrow X$ the corresponding extension of the bundle $\mathbf{P}'_\rho \rightarrow X_m$.

The collection C, Ψ is said to be admissible, if C_j, Ψ^j satisfy 1), 2) for every j .

Proposition 4.4. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all Fuchsian systems of ODE's on the Riemann surface with prescribed monodromy and the set $\{H^0(X, O(\mathbf{P}_\rho^{C, \Psi}))\}$ of holomorphic sections of all admissible extensions of the principal bundle $\mathbf{P}'_\rho \rightarrow X_m$.

The proof of the last two propositions in the case when X is the Riemann sphere, is contained in [Bl3].

5 Criterion of stability.

Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on a Riemann surface X , with $\deg E = k$ and $\text{rank} E = n$. The normalized Chern class of the vector bundle E is defined by $\mu(E) = \frac{k}{n}$.

A bundle E is called stable (resp. semi-stable) if for every proper sub-bundle $F \subset E$, we have

$$\mu(F) < \mu(E),$$

(resp.

$$\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)).$$

Properties of stable bundles:

- 1) If $E \rightarrow X$ is semi-stable and $(n, k) = 1$, then E is stable.
- 2) A line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ is stable.
- 3) Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a line bundle. $E \rightarrow X$ is stable if and only if $E \otimes L \rightarrow X$ is stable.
- 4) If $E \rightarrow X$ is stable, then E is indecomposable and by the Riemann-Roch theorem

$$\dim H^1(X, \mathbf{O}(\text{End}E)) = n^2(g - 1) + 1. \quad (5.1)$$

The definition of stability has been given by D. Mumford [**Mum**] oriented towards Riemann surfaces of negative curvature. For example, in case $g = 0$, stable bundle (in sense of Mumford) may be only line bundle $E(k)$ (semi-stable are $E(k)^{\oplus r}$), but there exist stable bundles on the Riemann sphere, of rank more than one.

A criterion of stability for vector bundles on the Riemann sphere $\mathbf{CP}^1$ given by B. Bojarski, will be reproduced below.

Let $\mathfrak{S}(n, k)$ be the space of all vector bundles on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ of rank n with Chern class k . By Grothendieck's theorem every bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ splits into direct sum (1.12) of line bundles.

The splitting type $K = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ completely defines the holomorphic structure of E .

Theorem 5.1. [**Boj1**] [**Boj2**]. 1) A vector bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ is stable if and only if $k_1 - k_n \leq 1$.

2) The space of stable bundles is an open and dense subspace of $\mathfrak{S}(n, k)$.

If $E \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over a Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$, then it does not split into the sum of line bundles but some analogy exists [**Gi**].

A criterion of stability belongs to A. Weil. In particular the following theorem is true.

Theorem 5.2. [**We**]. A topologically trivial vector bundle is stable if and only if it corresponds to an irreducible unitary representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$

$$\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow U(n). \quad (5.2)$$

A generalization of Weil's theorem is Narasimhan and Seshadri theorem, which is a criterion of stability for topologically nontrivial holomorphic vector bundles.

Theorem 5.3.[N-S]. A holomorphic vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of rank n and with Chern class k is stable if and only if it is induced from an irreducible representation of the Fuchsian group, $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow U(n)$, where Γ is a group with $2g + 1$ generators $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_g, b_g, c$ (where g is genus of X), satisfying the relations:

$$\prod_{i=1}^g [a_i, b_i] c = 1, \quad (5.3)$$

$$c^k = 1, \quad (5.4)$$

whereas the irreducible representation ρ is

$$\rho(c) = \exp(-2\pi i \mu(E)) \mathbf{1}.$$

Let $s \in X$ be a marked point and $k < 0$ any integer. As it is known, there exists a covering $\pi : \mathbf{H} \rightarrow X$, which is branched at the point s and generators (5.3) of the uniformization group Γ satisfying the conditions (5.4) – (5.5) and $\mathbf{H}/\Gamma \cong X \setminus \{s\}$. So, Γ contains a finite cyclic subgroup with generator c , it means that $\pi^{-1}(S) \subset \mathbf{H}$ is the only fixed point of Γ , and Γ is a central extension of $\pi_1(X)$:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_m \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi_1(X) \rightarrow 1.$$

The group Γ acts on the trivial bundle $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow X$ via

$$(z, c) \mapsto (cz, \rho(c) v).$$

This action gives us the holomorphic bundle $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X \setminus \{s\}$.

For the Riemann data $(X, \{s\}, \rho)$ by the theorem 4.2 there exists the system of ODE's

$$df = \omega f, \quad (5.5)$$

which has regular singular points and monodromy representation of the system (5.5) coinciding with ρ . This means that ω is a connexion form for the holomorphic bundle $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X \setminus \{s\}$. The corresponding holomorphic connexion is denoted by ∇' .

According to the construction of the 4-th section it is possible to extend $(\mathbf{E}'_\rho, \nabla')$ to $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X$. By proposition 4.2 the connexion form ω in the neighbourhood of s will be:

$$\omega = \mu(\mathbf{E}_\rho) \mathbf{1} \frac{dz}{z - s}.$$

We obtain the following statement:

Theorem 5.4. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a stable holomorphic vector bundle. Then there exists a Fuchsian connexion θ on E which has only one singular point.

The system (5.5) has apparent singular points, and their quantity will be estimated if a local representation induced by ρ is semi-simple.

A local representation induced by ρ at a point $s_k \in S$ is defined as follows: Let U be a neighbourhood of p that is biholomorphic to the unit disk satisfying $U \cap S = \{s_k\}$. The injection $U \setminus \{s_k\} \rightarrow M \setminus S$ induces a representation of $\pi_1(U \setminus \{s_k\})$ in $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$. This is the local representation at $s_k \in S$ induced by ρ .

Theorem 5.5.[O]. If the representation ρ is irreducible and the local representation at some point of S induced by ρ is semi-stable, there exists a Fuchsian linear differential equation on M which has at most

$$1 - n(1 - g) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(m + 2g - 2) \quad (5.6)$$

apparent singularities, where $m = \text{card} S$.

This estimate will be obtained by calculating zeros of the Wronskian of our system. Indeed, let $\Phi(z)$ be the fundamental system of solutions of (5.5) and $f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_n(z)$ be any row. Denote by D the operator $\frac{d}{dz}$. Consider the equation

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} g(z) & f_1(z) & \dots & f_n(z) \\ Dg(z) & Df_1(z) & \dots & Df_n(z) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ D^n g(z) & D^n f_1(z) & \dots & D^n f_n(z) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

i. e.

$$\omega_0(z)D^n g(z) + \omega_1(z)D^{n-1}g(z) + \dots + \omega_n(z)g(z) = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\omega_0(z) = \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1(z) & f_2(z) & \dots & f_n(z) \\ Df_1(z) & Df_2(z) & \dots & Df_n(z) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ D^n f_1(z) & D^n f_2(z) & \dots & D^n f_n(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the Wronskian of $f(z) = (f_1(z), f_2(z), \dots, f_n(z))$. We denote it by $W(z)$. Write (5.8) as follows:

$$\frac{1}{W(z)}(\omega_0(z)D^n g(z) + \omega_1(z)D^{n-1}g(z) + \dots + \omega_n(z)g(z)) = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

This equation is Fuchsian and its monodromy coincides with monodromy of the system (5.5), but (5.9) has apparent singular points, they are zeros of the Wronskian $W(z)$. It is clear, that the poles of $W(z)$ are singular points of the system (5.5).

Collorary. The connexion θ has at most

$$n^2g - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + 1$$

apparent singular points.

Let (X, S, ρ) be any Riemann data, where S is empty and ρ is an irreducible unitary representation, then (5.6) is an ODE with apparent singular points. The number p of apparent singular points will be estimated (by theorem 5.4):

$$p \leq n^2(g-1) + 1.$$

Note that the right hand side of this inequality is the dimension of the moduli space of stable holomorphic n -rank vector bundles on a Riemann surface of genus g .

Every holomorphic bundle $\mathbf{E}$ has a canonical filtration [At-Bo]

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \dots \subset E_r = E,$$

with $F_i = E_i/E_{i-1}$ semi-stable and

$$\mu(F_1) > \mu(F_2) > \dots > \mu(F_r).$$

E is a direct sum of semi-stable bundles $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_p$. Hence

$$E \cong F_1 \oplus F_2 \oplus \dots \oplus F_p. \quad (5.10)$$

On the other hand, for any semi-stable vector bundle V there exists a filtration

$$V = V_q \supset V_{q-1} \supset \dots \supset V_1 = \emptyset,$$

such that V_1 and $W_i = V_{i-1}/V_i$ are stable. Since V_1 is stable, it follows that the cocycle $z^{-\mu(V_1)}$ defines V_1 . Analogically the cocycle for V_2 is

$$a_{V_2} = \begin{pmatrix} (z - x_\infty)^{-\mu(W_1)} \dots \dots \dots * \\ 0 \dots \dots \dots (z - x_\infty)^{-\mu(W_2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and so on. Finally, for V we have

$$a_V = \begin{pmatrix} (z - x_\infty)^{-\mu(W_1)} & \dots & * \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & \dots & (z - x_\infty)^{-\mu(W_q)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By (5.10) it follows that

$$\Psi_E = \text{diag}(A_{F_1}, \dots, A_{F_p}). \quad (5.11)$$

This gives the proof of the following result.

Theorem 5.6. Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle. Then the cocycle (5.11) defines the given bundle up to an isomorphism.

The connexion ω agrees with the holomorphic structure on $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X$ and if ω_1 is gauge equivalent to ω , the bundle $\mathbf{E}_{\rho_1} \rightarrow X$ is holomorphically equivalent to $\mathbf{E}_\rho \rightarrow X$. This gives possibility to describe holomorphic structures on the C^∞ -bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X$.

We consider therefore a fixed C^∞ complex vector bundle $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow X$ of rank n and Chern class k and we denote the space of all holomorphic structures on $\mathbf{E}$ by $\aleph(n, k)$.

Let $\text{Aut}(E)$ denote the group of automorphisms of E which means that any element of this group is locally a C^∞ map of X into $GL(n, \mathbf{C})$. Then $\text{Aut}(E)$ acts on $\aleph(n, k)$ and the orbits by definition are the isomorphism classes of complex analytic bundles on X with Chern class k and rank n .

Suppose F_i has rank n_i and Chern class k_i so that $n = \sum n_i$ and $k = \sum k_i$. So, we have the sequence of rational numbers

$$\mu = \left(\frac{k_1}{n_1}, \dots, \frac{k_1}{n_1}, \frac{k_2}{n_2}, \dots, \frac{k_2}{n_2}, \dots, \frac{k_r}{n_r}, \dots, \frac{k_r}{n_r} \right),$$

which we call the type of $\mathbf{E}$.

All the holomorphic bundles of given type μ define a subspace $\aleph_\mu$ of $\aleph(n, k)$. In particular, if all components of μ are equal (hence are all equal to $\frac{k}{n}$), then $\aleph_\mu \cong \aleph_{ss}$ is the semi-stable part of $\aleph(n, k)$.

The codimension of $\aleph_\mu$ in $\aleph(n, k)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\mu_i > \mu_j} (\mu_i - \mu_j + (g - 1)).$$

If $g = 0$, we obtain the well known formula (theorem 1.3).

6 Holomorphic bundles on $\mathbf{CP}^1$

Let $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$ be a set of marked points on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ and

$$df = \omega f \quad (6.1)$$

be the system of ODE's which is induced by the representation

$$\rho : \pi_1(\mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus S, z_0) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C}). \quad (6.2)$$

By proposition 1.2 the fundamental matrix of solutions in the neighbourhood of s_j is

$$\Phi_j(\tilde{z}) = U_j(z)(z - s_j)^{\Psi_j}(\tilde{z} - s_j)^{E_j} \quad (6.3),$$

where Ψ_j are exponents of the solution space $\mathfrak{R}$ of the system (6.1) and $E_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln G_j$. Again denote by $\mu_j^1, \mu_j^2, \dots, \mu_j^n$ the eigenvalues of E_j . Then eigenvalues $\beta_j^i = \varphi_j^i + \mu_j^i$ of the matrix $\Psi_j + E_j$ are the exponents of the solution space $\mathfrak{R}$ at the point s_j (or j -exponents).

Let every singular point satisfy the condition $\det U_j(s_j) \neq 0$. Then the system (6.1) will be Fuchsian and therefore the 1-form ω will have single poles. Denote

$$A_i = \text{Res}_{s_i} \omega,$$

then the system (6.1) will be written in the following form

$$df = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{A_i}{z - s_i} dz \quad (6.4).$$

where the matrices A_i satisfy the condition $\sum_{i=1}^m A_i = 0$.

Proposition 6.1. [Le], [Bl1] 1) The number

$$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_j^i$$

is integer and is at most 0.

2) The system (6.1) is Fuchsian if and only if $\beta = 0$.

Our following discussion concerns Fuchsian systems. We emphasize this, because after the Plemelj's work "Problems in the sense of Riemann and Klein" it was believed that Hilbert's 21-st problem is solved for Fuchsian

systems, but A. Bolibruch in 1989 gave an example of a representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus S, z_0)$ which does not give a Fuchsian system.

Theorem 6.1.[Bl1]. Let $(\mathbf{CP}^1, S, \rho)$ be any Riemann data.

1) Case $n=2$. Then there exists a Fuchsian system where monodromy representation coincides with ρ .

2) Case $n=3$. a) if $m = \text{Card}S = 3$ or b) m is arbitrary and ρ is irreducible then Hilbert's 21-st problem can be solved.

3) Case $n > 3$. For every S , where $\text{Card}S > 3$, there exists a representation ρ which does not induce a Fuchsian system.

Let us make few comments on this theorem.

1) Let $n=2$. There exists a representation of the fundamental group, which is not monodromy representation for any Fuchsian system, even in case, when $n=3$.

Examples. Let $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbf{CP}^1$. Corresponding monodromy matrices are

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, G_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -c_1 - c_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the numbers c_1, c_2 satisfy the condition $c_1 c_2 (c_1 + c_2) \neq 0$. There does not exist Fuchsian equation, whose monodromy group is generated by these G_1, G_2, G_3 matrices. There always exists a Fuchsian system for this representation. This follows from Dekkers' [D] theorem.

2) For the system

$$df = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z^2} + \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z+1} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z-1} + \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z-\frac{1}{2}} \right) f$$

$0, -1, 1, \frac{1}{2}$ are regular singular points (The points $-1, 1, \frac{1}{2}$ are Fuchsian singularities. However 0 is not Fuchsian, as it is a pole of order 2). Its monodromy representation ρ is reduced. Therefore the Fuchsian system does not exist, with these singular points and representation ρ .

From theorem 6.1 n. 3) follows, in general case, that on the marked Riemann sphere always exists a vector bundle, which has no Fuchsian connections. So, solvability of Hilbert's 21st problem depends on the conformal

structure on the Riemann sphere. The problem has topological character only for rank two vector bundles. This case we consider below.

For every Fuchsian equation there exists a Fuchsian system (6.4), which has the same singular point and monodromy. In particular, for the hypergeometric equation

$$y'' + \frac{\gamma + (\alpha + \beta + 1)}{z(z-1)}y' - \frac{\alpha\beta}{z(z-1)} = 0$$

the aforementioned system will be:

$$df = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha\beta & -\gamma \end{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dz} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \gamma - (\alpha + \beta) \end{pmatrix} \frac{dz}{z-1} \right) f$$

As we mentioned there exists a representation whose corresponding Fuchsian equation does not exist without apparent singular points. But if representation is irreducible, for the estimation of the quantity of apparent singular points we may have more precise inequality than in theorem 5.5.

Consider the vector bundle $E^{C,\Psi}$ associated with the principal bundle $P^{C,\Psi}$, which we introduced in section 4. Let $K^{C,\Psi} = (k_1^{C,\Psi}, k_2^{C,\Psi}, \dots, k_n^{C,\Psi})$ be the splitting type of the vector bundle $E^{C,\Psi}$.

The number

$$\tau(E^{C,\Psi}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (k_1^{C,\Psi} - k_i^{C,\Psi})$$

is called the weight of the bundle $\tau(E^{C,\Psi})$ and the number $\frac{\tau(E^{C,\Psi})}{\text{rank } E}$ is called the normalized weight.

Theorem 6.2 [Bl3]. For any holomorphic vector bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ with splitting type $K^{C,\Psi} = (k_1^{C,\Psi}, k_2^{C,\Psi}, \dots, k_n^{C,\Psi})$ and for any points $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\}$, where

$$m = \max(\max_{i=1,2,\dots,n-1} (k_i^{C,\Psi} - k_{i+1}^{C,\Psi} + 2), 3)$$

there exists a Fuchsian system with the singular points $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ and with monodromy ρ such that the following conditions hold:

- 1) ρ is irreducible.
- 2) The canonical extension $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ of the vector bundle $E'_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus S$, constructed by means of the monodromy representation ρ , has the splitting

type $k_1 - \gamma(E), k_2 - \gamma(E), \dots, k_n - \gamma(E)$, where $\gamma(E)$ is equal to the integer part of the normalized Chern number of the vector bundle E .

3) For the vector bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ there exists a meromorphic connexion with at most logarithmic singularities and with an irreducible monodromy whose number of singular points is equal m and there is no connexion with the properties mentioned above whose number of singular points is less than m .

Let $(\mathbf{CP}^1, S, \rho)$ be any Riemann data with $\text{card}S = m$, $\rho : \pi_1(\mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus S) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C})$ be irreducible and let the type of the canonical bundle induced from ρ be $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$. Denote by l the quantity of the first equal numbers, i. e. $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_l$. Under these conditions the quantity of apparent singular points is at most

$$\frac{(m-2)n(n-1)}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^n (k_1 - k_i) + 1 - l. \quad [\text{Bl2}].$$

Consequently, we obtain an estimate for the partial indices in the case when ρ is irreducible:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (k_1 - k_i) \leq \frac{(m-2)n(n-1)}{2} + 1 - l. \quad (6.5).$$

If the equality is achieved it means that we have the ODE induced by ρ which has no apparent singular points.

Consider the canonical extension $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ of the vector bundle $E'_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus S$ induced by the representation (6.2) of the Fuchsian system (6.4). The splitting type of $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ can be algorithmically calculated with the aid of system (6.4) as follows (we are repeating here the nice argument from [Bl3]):

Consider the matrix A_1 of (6.4), with eigenvalues $\beta_1^1, \beta_1^2, \dots, \beta_1^n$. Let $\alpha_j^i = \text{Re } \beta_j^i$ and $\alpha_1^1 \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\alpha_1^1 \geq 1$. (The case $\alpha_1^1 \leq -1$ can be investigated in a similar way).

Consider the change

$$g_1 = T_1 f \quad (6.6)$$

of the dependent variable f under the action of the constant nondegenerate matrix T_1 such that the coefficient matrix $A'_1 = T_1 A_1 T_1^{-1}$ of the new system has Jordan normal form with the first eigenvalue equal to β_1^1 . Recall

that under the transformation (6.6) the system (6.1) is transformed into the system

$$\frac{dg_1}{dz} = A'(z)g_1 \quad (6.7)$$

where $A' = T_1 A T_1^{-1} + \frac{dT_1}{dz} T_1^{-1}$.

Then consider the transformation

$$g_2 = (z - s_1)^D g_1 \quad (6.8)$$

where $D = \text{diag}(-1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Under transformations (6.6), (6.8) our original system (6.4) is transformed into system (6.1), which is Fuchsian at $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ with an additional apparent singular point ∞ . Moreover, the eigenvalues of its coefficient matrix A_1'' at s_1 are equal to the following ones: $\beta_1^1 - 1, \beta_1^2, \dots, \beta_1^n$.

Using the procedure whose first step was described above, we can obtain system (6.1), which is Fuchsian at $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ with the additional apparent singularity at ∞ , and whose coefficient matrix $\tilde{A}_1$ has an eigenvalue $\tilde{\beta}_1^1$ such that $[\text{Re}\tilde{\beta}_1^1] = 0$.

In a similar way, we can obtain a system whose α_j^i at all points $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ are equal to zero. This system is Fuchsian at these points and has one additional apparent singular point at ∞ . The transformation matrix $T(z)$, which transforms our (6.4) system into this new one, is meromorphic at $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m$ and ∞ , holomorphically invertible off these points, and can be calculated algorithmically on the basis of the system (6.4).

Treat the matrix $T(z)$ as a transition function of some vector bundle on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ trivialized on $\mathbf{C}^1$ and a coordinate neighborhood V_∞ of infinity. Then there exists a matrix $\Gamma(z)$ holomorphically invertible in $\mathbf{C}^1$ and a matrix $U(z)$ holomorphically invertible in V_∞ such that

$$\Gamma(z)T(z) = z^K U(z),$$

where $K = \text{diag}(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n$.

Proposition 6.2. The collection of the numbers $-k_1, -k_2, \dots, -k_n$ coincides with the splitting type of the canonical extension $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ constructed by the monodromy of the Fuchsian system (6.4).

Consider the case $n=2$.

Let i -exponents for the solutions space $\mathfrak{R}$ be φ_i^1, φ_i^2 and let us assume $\varphi_i^1 \geq \varphi_i^2$ (which is always possible).

The number $\gamma_\omega = \sum_{i=1}^m (\varphi_i^1 - \varphi_i^2)$ is called Fuchsian weight for system (6.4).

Fix a representation ρ for any Riemann data $(\mathbf{CP}^1, S, \rho)$ and denote by Ω_ρ the set of Fuchsian systems corresponding to this data. The number $\gamma_\rho = \min_{\Omega_\rho} \gamma_\omega$ is called Fuchsian weight for the representation ρ .

Let $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ be the holomorphic vector bundle induced by the representation ρ and (k_1, k_2) be its splitting type. Then

$$\gamma_\rho = k_1 - k_2.$$

Every rank two holomorphic bundle on $\mathbf{CP}^1$ is holomorphically equivalent to any bundle $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$, which is obtained by the extension of the bundle induced by an irreducible representation. So, for every rank 2 holomorphic bundle there exists an irreducible connexion, which is holomorphic except for the finite number n_ω of points, where it has simple poles. Denote by Ω^{irr} the space of irreducible Fuchsian connexions. Let

$$p = \min_{\omega \in \Omega^{\text{irr}}} n_\omega.$$

The identity

$$p = k_1 - k_2 + 2 \tag{6.9}$$

is satisfied.

From this follows the proposition.

Proposition 6.3. A rank two vector bundle $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ is stable if and only if it is induced by the Gauss equation.

Proof. Let the bundle be stable, then from (6.9) we obtain $p=3$. As we mentioned, there exists an irreducible representation

$$\rho : \pi_1 \left(\mathbf{CP}^1 \setminus \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}, z_0 \right) \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbf{C}),$$

from which $\mathbf{F}$ is induced. It means that there exists the Gauss equation for every irreducible representation [B12]. Therefore, $\mathbf{F}$ has Gauss connexion. Converse proposition is obtained from (6.9), taking $p=3$.

7 On the splitting type of a rank three vector bundle

B. Bojarski in [Boj3] has posed the question: whether the partial indices are invariants of conformal transformation of the complex plane. Our following reasoning is intended to move in this direction.

Let $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ be a holomorphic vector bundle with characteristic matrix d_K , $K = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$, $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n$. Consider the vector bundle $\text{End}E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$. The characteristic matrix of this bundle is $d_K \otimes d_K^{-1}$.

Clearly

$$\dim H^0(\mathbf{CP}^1, O(\text{End}E)) = \sum_{k_i \geq k_j} (k_i - k_j + 1),$$

$$\dim H^1(\mathbf{CP}^1, O(\text{End}E)) = \sum_{k_i > k_j} (k_i - k_j - 1) = \sum_{k_i > k_j} (k_i - k_j) + \frac{1}{2}n(n-1).$$

The number

$$\nu(E) = \dim H^1(\mathbf{CP}^1, O(\text{End}E)) - \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$$

will be called reduced dimension of the deformation space of complex structures of the bundle E .

For every holomorphic bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} k_1 - k_2 & k_1 - k_3 & \dots & \dots & & k_1 - k_n & \\ k_2 - k_3 & k_2 - k_4 & \dots & k_2 - k_n & & & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & & \dots & \\ k_{n-1} - k_n & & & & & & \end{array}$$

The sum of the first row is the weight of the bundle $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$, i. e.

$$\tau(E) = \sum_{i=1}^n (k_1 - k_i) = nk_1 - c_1(E) \quad (7.1)$$

The sum of all elements of this diagram is equal to the reduced dimension of the deformation space of the complex structures of the bundle E . From (7.1) it follows:

1) The higher partial index of RHTP equals $k_1 = \frac{\tau(E)}{n} + \frac{c_1(E)}{n}$ and therefore the sum of the normalized weight and normalized Chern number of the vector bundle E is an integer.

2) If E is a rank two vector bundle, then $\tau(E) = \nu(E)$.

Theorem 7.1. Let $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ be a rank three vector bundle. Then the splitting type of E is given by

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \frac{1}{3}(c_1(E) + \tau(E)), \\ k_2 &= \frac{1}{3}c_1(E) - \frac{2}{3}\tau(E) + \frac{1}{2}\nu(E), \\ k_3 &= \frac{1}{3}(c_1(E) + \tau(E)) - \frac{1}{2}\nu(E). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.2. Let $E \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ be a rank two vector bundle. Then

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(c_1(E) + \nu(E)), \\ k_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(c_1(E) - \nu(E)). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [An-BI] D.V.Anosov, A.A.Bolibruch. The Rieman-Hilbert problem.Aspects of Mathematics,Vieweg,Brauschweig,Wiesbaden,1994.
- [Ar-II] V.I.Arnold, Yu.S.Il'yashenko.Ordinary differential equations, in the book: Dynamical system I, Encyclopaedia of the Mathematical sciences, 1, Springer, 1988.
- [At-Bot] M. Atiyah, R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surface. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London, 1982, A308, p. 523-615.
- [Boj1] B. Bojarski. Stability of the Hilbert problem for a holomorphic vector. Bull. Acad. Sci. Georgia, 1958, vol. 21, p.391-398.
- [Boj2] B. Bojarski. Connections between Complex Analytical and Geometrical Aspects of the Riemann-Hilbert transmission Problem. In Book "Complex Analysis. Methods, Applications." Berlin, A.V. 1983

- [Boj3] B. Bojarski. Analysis of the boundary problem via function theory. In "Investigation on modern problems of the function theory of complex variables." Moscow, 1960.
- [Bl1] A. Bolibruch. Riemann-Hilbert problem. Usp. Mat. Nauk, 1990, vol. 45, N2, p. 3-47.
- [Bl2] A. Bolibruch. Mat. Zam. 1990, vol. 48, N5, p. 22-34.
- [Bl3] A. Bolibruch. Vector bundle associated with monodromies and asymptotics of Fuchsian systems. Journal of Dynamical and control systems. 1996, vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 229-252.
- [D] W. Dekkers. Moduli spaces for pairs of 2×2 matrix and for certain connections on $\mathbf{CP}^1$. 1976, Meppel, Krips repro.
- [Gi] G. Giorgadze. Factorization problem and a moduli space of the holomorphic vector bundles on the Riemann surface. Ph. D. Thesis. Steklov Mathematical Institute Moscow, 1992.
- [Go] V. A. Golubeva. Reconstruction of a Pfaff system of Fuchs type from the generators of the monodromy group. Math. USSR-Izv. 1981, No 17, pp. 227-241.
- [Kh] G. N. Khimshiashvili. Lie groups and transmission problems on Riemann surface. Contemp. Math. 1992, vol. 131, pp. 164-178.
- [Kohn] Treibich A. Kohn. Un result de Plemelj, Progress in Mathem. Birkhäuser, 1983, vol. 37.
- [Kohno] T. Kohno. Monodromy representations of braid groups and Yang-Baxter equations. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble. 1987, vol. 37, pp. 134-160.
- [LD] I. Lappo-Danilevskii. Memoires sur la theorie des systemes des equations differentielles lineaires, Chelsea, New-York, 1953.
- [Le] H. M. Levelt. Hypergeometric functions. Proc. Koninkl. Netherlands Acad. Wet., Ser. A, Math. Sci. 1961, vol. 64, p. 361-401.

- [Lek1] V.P.Leksin. Meromorphic Pfaffian systems on complex projective spaces, Math.USSR-Sb.1987, Vol.57, pp.211-227.
- [Lek2] V.P.Leksin. Math.USSR-Zam.1991, Vol.50, No.2, pp.89-97.
- [Mum] D. Mumford. Geometric invariant theory. Berlin, Springer-Verlag.
- [Mus] N. I. Muskhelishvili. Singular integral equations. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1953.
- [N-S] M. Narasimhan, C. Seshadri. Holomorphic vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface. Math.Ann. 1964, vol.55, p.69-80.
- [O] M. Ohtsuki. On the number of apparent singularities of a linear differential equation. Tokyo J. Math. 1982, vol.5, N1, p.23-29.
- [Rod] Yu.L.Rodin. The Riemann boundary problem on Riemann surface. D.Riedel Publ.Com. 1988.
- [Roh] H. Röhrl. Holomorphic vector bundles over Riemann surfaces. Bull. Amer. Math.Soc. 1962, vol.68, N3, p.125-160.
- [S-M-J] M. Sato, T. Miwa, M. Jimbo. Holonomic quantum field theory. Pub. RIMS, Kyoto university. 1978, vol. 14, pp. 223-267.
- [V] N.P.Vekua. The system of singular integral equations.
- [We] A. Weil. Generalization de fonctions abeliennes. J. Math. Pure Appl., 1938, vol.17, p.47-87.
- [Wi] E.Witten. Topological quantum field theory. Comm. Math. Phys. 1988, vol. 117, pp. 353-386.

Institute of Cybernetics
 Georgian Academy of Sciences
 Sandro Euli st. 5
 Tbilisi 380086
 Republic of Georgia
 email: giorgadze@rmi.acnet.ge